

National Tracking Poll

Project: 191112

N Size: 1988 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: ± 2%

November 05-08, 2019

Topline Report

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
P1	<i>Now, generally speaking, would you say that things in the country are going in the right direction, or have they pretty seriously gotten off on the wrong track?</i>		
	Right Direction	742	37%
	Wrong Track	1246	63%
P3	<i>Now, thinking about your vote, what would you say is the top set of issues on your mind when you cast your vote for federal offices such as U.S. Senate or Congress?</i>		
	Economic Issues – like taxes, wages, jobs, unemployment, and spending	479	24%
	Security Issues – like terrorism, foreign policy, and border security	410	21%
	Health Care Issues – like the 2010 health care law, Medicaid, other challenges	368	19%
	Seniors Issues – like Medicare and Social Security	283	14%
	Women’s Issues – like birth control, abortion, and equal pay	129	6%
	Education Issues – like school standards, class sizes, school choice, and student loans	81	4%
	Energy Issues – like carbon emissions, cost of electricity/gasoline, or renewables	117	6%
	Other:	121	6%

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
PH1	<i>The following are two candidates running for Congress. Which candidate's proposal would you be more likely to support?</i>		
	Candidate E, who supports lowering drug prices by allowing the federal government to negotiate drug prices on 250 expensive medicines plus insulins so that the U.S. government pays no more than 1.2 times what other countries pay for those same drugs. Candidate E would also tax drug companies up to 95% on the gross sales of the drug for non-compliance; would cap seniors' drug costs at \$2,000 a year; and would penalize drug companies for raising drug prices above the rate of inflation.	554	28%
	Candidate G, who supports lowering drug prices by requiring health insurance companies to pass along to patients more of the billions of dollars in discounts they get for prescription drugs. Candidate G would increase transparency of costs on drug companies, hospitals and insurers; strengthen the FDA to get more safe generic drugs to the market faster; crack down on practices that slow generic competitors; and cap seniors' annual drug costs to a more affordable and predictable amount.	965	49%
	Don't know/Not Sure	470	24%
PH2a	<i>And would you strongly support or somewhat support Candidate E's proposal? (N=554)</i>		
	Strongly support	185	33%
	Somewhat support	369	67%
PH2b	<i>And would you strongly support or somewhat support Candidate G's proposal? (N=965)</i>		
	Strongly support	326	34%
	Somewhat support	639	66%
PH3_1	<i>Here are a few statements some people have made about the proposals you just read from Candidate E. Assuming each is true, please indicate whether each of these statements makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the proposal from Candidate E, or if the statement does not make a difference either way. Over ten years the proposal would take roughly one trillion dollars (\$1,000,000,000,000) out of the biopharmaceutical industry, including from research and development of new treatments and cures. (N=986)</i>		
	Much more likely to support	60	6%
	Somewhat more likely to support	99	10%
	No difference either way	349	35%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	263	27%
	Much more likely to oppose	216	22%

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
PH3_2	<i>Here are a few statements some people have made about the proposals you just read from Candidate E. Assuming each is true, please indicate whether each of these statements makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the proposal from Candidate E, or if the statement does not make a difference either way. Over ten years the proposal would take roughly \$100 billion dollars each year out of the biopharmaceutical industry, including from research and development of new treatments and cures. (N=1,002)</i>		
	Much more likely to support	51	5%
	Somewhat more likely to support	101	10%
	No difference either way	387	39%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	269	27%
	Much more likely to oppose	194	19%
PH3_3	<i>Here are a few statements some people have made about the proposals you just read from Candidate E. Assuming each is true, please indicate whether each of these statements makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the proposal from Candidate E, or if the statement does not make a difference either way. The Congressional Budget Office reports that the proposal would mean the loss of at least 8-15 treatments and cures over the next 10 years. Some estimates put the loss of future treatments much higher.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	81	4%
	Somewhat more likely to support	128	6%
	No difference either way	599	30%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	623	31%
	Much more likely to oppose	557	28%
PH3_4	<i>Here are a few statements some people have made about the proposals you just read from Candidate E. Assuming each is true, please indicate whether each of these statements makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the proposal from Candidate E, or if the statement does not make a difference either way. The proposal could slow research and development into diseases such as Alzheimer's, ALS, cancer and neurological conditions.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	77	4%
	Somewhat more likely to support	136	7%
	No difference either way	546	27%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	654	33%
	Much more likely to oppose	574	29%

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
PH3_5	<i>Here are a few statements some people have made about the proposals you just read from Candidate E. Assuming each is true, please indicate whether each of these statements makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the proposal from Candidate E, or if the statement does not make a difference either way. The proposal could mean the permanent loss of nearly 1 million U.S. jobs, from janitors, to people working in manufacturing, to scientists, to truck drivers, and more.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	68	3%
	Somewhat more likely to support	125	6%
	No difference either way	550	28%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	606	30%
	Much more likely to oppose	639	32%
PH3_6	<i>Here are a few statements some people have made about the proposals you just read from Candidate E. Assuming each is true, please indicate whether each of these statements makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the proposal from Candidate E, or if the statement does not make a difference either way. The proposal could lead to restrictions on certain medicines prescribed by a doctor.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	73	4%
	Somewhat more likely to support	190	10%
	No difference either way	652	33%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	603	30%
	Much more likely to oppose	470	24%
PH3_7	<i>Here are a few statements some people have made about the proposals you just read from Candidate E. Assuming each is true, please indicate whether each of these statements makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the proposal from Candidate E, or if the statement does not make a difference either way. The proposal keeps in place, and does not change, the broken system where the billions of dollars in negotiated discounts and rebates on medicines are not passed on to patients to lower what they pay at the pharmacy counter.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	78	4%
	Somewhat more likely to support	190	10%
	No difference either way	561	28%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	559	28%
	Much more likely to oppose	600	30%

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
PH3_8	<i>Here are a few statements some people have made about the proposals you just read from Candidate E. Assuming each is true, please indicate whether each of these statements makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the proposal from Candidate E, or if the statement does not make a difference either way. The proposal imports policies from foreign countries that discriminate against seniors, the disabled, and patients with chronic conditions.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	94	5%
	Somewhat more likely to support	170	9%
	No difference either way	580	29%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	498	25%
	Much more likely to oppose	645	32%
PH4	<i>Candidate E's proposal could mean the loss of at least 8-15 treatments and cures over the next 10 years. Some estimates put the loss of future treatments much higher. This could include treatments for Alzheimer's, ALS, cancer and neurological conditions. Knowing this, how concerning, if at all, is this proposal to you?</i>		
	Very concerning	772	39%
	Somewhat concerning	731	37%
	Not too concerning	212	11%
	Not concerning at all	62	3%
	Don't know/no opinion	211	11%
PH5	<i>Supporters of Candidate E's proposal argue that losing 8-15 treatments and cures over the next 10 years is a reasonable price to pay in exchange for allowing the government to negotiate the price of high-priced medicines, cracking down on greedy drug companies, capping seniors' drug costs at \$2,000 a year and saving the federal government at least \$345 billion over seven years. How convincing is this argument to you?</i>		
	Very convincing	187	9%
	Somewhat convincing	551	28%
	Not too convincing	588	30%
	Not convincing at all	395	20%
	Don't know/no opinion	267	13%

Note: Group proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. All statistics are calculated for registered voters with demographic post-stratification weights applied.

Respondent Demographics Summary

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

Demographic	Group	Frequency	Percentage
xdemAll	Registered Voters	1988	100%
xdemGender	Gender: Male	930	47%
	Gender: Female	1058	53%
	N	1988	
age5	Age: 18-29	337	17%
	Age: 30-44	464	23%
	Age: 45-54	272	14%
	Age: 55-64	452	23%
	Age: 65+	463	23%
	N	1988	
demAgeGeneration	Generation Z: 18-22	112	6%
	Millennial: Age 23-38	511	26%
	Generation X: Age 39-54	451	23%
	Boomers: Age 55-73	798	40%
	N	1872	
xpid3	PID: Dem (no lean)	778	39%
	PID: Ind (no lean)	568	29%
	PID: Rep (no lean)	643	32%
	N	1988	
xpidGender	PID/Gender: Dem Men	327	16%
	PID/Gender: Dem Women	451	23%
	PID/Gender: Ind Men	298	15%
	PID/Gender: Ind Women	270	14%
	PID/Gender: Rep Men	306	15%
	PID/Gender: Rep Women	337	17%
	N	1988	
xdemIdeo3	Ideo: Liberal (1-3)	599	30%
	Ideo: Moderate (4)	497	25%
	Ideo: Conservative (5-7)	733	37%
	N	1830	
xeduc3	Educ: < College	1250	63%
	Educ: Bachelors degree	470	24%
	Educ: Post-grad	267	13%
	N	1988	

Continued on next page

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

Demographic	Group	Frequency	Percentage
xdemInc3	Income: Under 50k	1062	53%
	Income: 50k-100k	653	33%
	Income: 100k+	273	14%
	N	1988	
xdemWhite	Ethnicity: White	1608	81%
xdemHispBin	Ethnicity: Hispanic	193	10%
demBlackBin	Ethnicity: Afr. Am.	252	13%
demRaceOther	Ethnicity: Other	128	6%
xdemUsr	Community: Urban	538	27%
	Community: Suburban	937	47%
	Community: Rural	513	26%
	N	1988	
xdemEmploy	Employ: Private Sector	601	30%
	Employ: Government	126	6%
	Employ: Self-Employed	157	8%
	Employ: Homemaker	159	8%
	Employ: Retired	563	28%
	Employ: Unemployed	168	8%
	Employ: Other	149	7%
	N	1923	
xdemMilHH1	Military HH: Yes	343	17%
	Military HH: No	1645	83%
	N	1988	
xnr1	RD/WT: Right Direction	742	37%
	RD/WT: Wrong Track	1246	63%
	N	1988	
xnr3	#1 Issue: Economy	479	24%
	#1 Issue: Security	410	21%
	#1 Issue: Health Care	368	19%
	#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security	283	14%
	#1 Issue: Women's Issues	129	6%
	#1 Issue: Education	81	4%
	#1 Issue: Energy	117	6%
	#1 Issue: Other	121	6%
N	1988		

Continued on next page

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

Demographic	Group	Frequency	Percentage
xsubVote18O	2018 House Vote: Democrat	804	40%
	2018 House Vote: Republican	690	35%
	2018 House Vote: Someone else	86	4%
	N	1580	
xsubVote16O	2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton	690	35%
	2016 Vote: Donald Trump	712	36%
	2016 Vote: Other	173	9%
	2016 Vote: Didn't Vote	412	21%
	N	1987	
xsubVote14O	Voted in 2014: Yes	1379	69%
	Voted in 2014: No	609	31%
	N	1988	
xsubVote12O	2012 Vote: Barack Obama	830	42%
	2012 Vote: Mitt Romney	582	29%
	2012 Vote: Other	83	4%
	2012 Vote: Didn't Vote	492	25%
	N	1987	
xreg4	4-Region: Northeast	355	18%
	4-Region: Midwest	457	23%
	4-Region: South	742	37%
	4-Region: West	434	22%
	N	1988	

Note: Group proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. All statistics are calculated with demographic post-stratification weights applied.



MORNING CONSULT