

Memorandum: Consumer Polling Data

OnMessage Inc. Battleground Survey – June 2021

- In your opinion, what is the most important issue facing the country today?
 - Government, 26.3%
 - Joe Biden, 4.5%
 - Social Issues, 15.6%
 - Economic Issues, 13.8%
 - Other, 9.9%
 - Health, 9.5%
 - Crime, 3.7%
 - Environment, 3.2%
 - Government Spending Issues, 3.0%
 - National Defense/Foreign, 2.1%
 - Education, 1.7%
 - Morals, 1.1%
 - Development, 1.0%
 - Taxes, 0.9%
 - Don't know, 8.3%
- Do you think the country is headed in the right direction or have we gotten off on the wrong track?
 - Right direction, 36.9%
 - Wrong track, 55.2%
 - Don't know/Refused, 7.9%
- Based on what you have heard, do you favor or oppose the pharmaceutical pricing plan that has been proposed by Nancy Pelosi and Democrats in Congress known as H.R.3?
 - Strongly favor, 12.5%
 - Somewhat favor, 7.8%
 - Strongly oppose, 31.3%
 - Somewhat oppose, 4.0%
 - Don't know/Refused, 44.3%
- Overall, how familiar would you say you are with the details of Nancy Pelosi's proposed pharmaceutical pricing plan known as H.R.3? Would you say you are...
 - Very familiar, 7.9%
 - Somewhat familiar, 25.2%
 - Not at all familiar, 44.7%
 - Not very familiar, 17.5%
 - Don't know/Refused, 4.7%
- I am going to give you a short description of concerns that have been expressed regarding proposed pharmaceutical pricing plans in Congress. Please tell me if each one makes you very concerned, somewhat concerned, or if you are not concerned.
 - "This plan would give away American intellectual property developed by U.S. drug manufacturers to other countries like China who refuse to pay their fair share for medicines developed in the United States."

- Very concerned, 55.5%
 - Somewhat concerned, 21.4%
 - Not concerned, 14.1%
 - Don't know/Refused, 9.1%
 - "This plan would create national security risks by making American more dependent on medical manufacturing in countries like China."
 - Very concerned, 61.1%
 - Somewhat concerned, 15.8%
 - Not concerned, 14.9%
 - Don't know/Refused, 8.1%
 - "This plan would introduce socialist price controls on pharmaceuticals that would decimate American investments in innovation and new medicines."
 - Very concerned, 48.1%
 - Somewhat concerned, 19.9%
 - Not concerned, 22.4%
 - Don't know/Refused, 9.6%
 - "This plan would allow the government to determine the value of your medicine, and whether or not you still have access to it, stripping life-saving treatments from patients."
 - Very concerned, 66.8%
 - Somewhat concerned, 13.4%
 - Not concerned, 14.5%
 - Don't Know/Refused, 8.3%
 - "This plan would increase taxes by 95% on many life-saving drugs that Americans rely on today."
 - Very concerned, 63.4%
 - Somewhat concerned, 11.8%
 - Not concerned, 14.7%
 - Don't know/Refused, 10.2%
- If you learned the Democrat candidate for Congress or Senate supported this plan known as H.R. 3, would you be more likely or less likely to vote for them for Congress or Senate?
 - Much more likely, 11.7%
 - Somewhat more likely, 7.4%
 - Much less likely, 47.5%
 - Somewhat less likely, 7.5%
 - No difference, 12.3%
 - Don't know/Refused, 13.5%
- Thinking about Medicare, which of the following statements do you agree with most?
 - 1. We should keep the law that prohibits government interference in Medicare plans' negotiations because this law protects seniors and people with disabilities from losing access to their medicines.

- 2. We should repeal the law that prohibits government interference in Medicare drug plans' negotiations because the federal government should be able to negotiate prescription drug prices to ensure...
 - Keep the law, 39.5%
 - Repeal the law, 40.8%
 - Both, 4.8%
 - Neither, 4.4%
 - Don't know/Refused, 10.6%
- I am going to give you a few short descriptions of concerns that have been expressed regarding this proposed change to Medicare which would allow the government to take over Medicare negotiations for prescription drugs and medical treatments. Please tell me if each one makes you very concerned, somewhat concerned or if you are not concerned.
 - "It would cut crucial funding sources from breast cancer, Alzheimer's, and rare pediatric diseases, halting the great strides that have been made in recent years."
 - Very concerned, 58.8%
 - Somewhat concerned, 20.1%
 - Not concerned, 13.0%
 - Don't know/Refused, 8.0%
 - "It would put government in charge of deciding which therapies for breast and lung cancer would be available, which would reduce options for patients."
 - Very concerned, 65.8%
 - Somewhat concerned, 15.7%
 - Not concerned, 12.3%
 - Don't know/Refused, 6.3%
 - "Other countries that have adopted similar government controls have seen fewer therapies for deadly diseases available to patients, leading to higher mortality rates from otherwise curable conditions."
 - Very concerned, 60.7%
 - Somewhat concerned, 16.8%
 - Not concerned, 13.9%
 - Don't know/Refused, 8.7%
 - "It could result in seniors and people with disabilities needing to purchase additional supplemental insurance to cover medicines cut from a list of approved drugs."
 - Very concerned, 61.3%
 - Somewhat concerned, 18.4%
 - Not concerned, 12.8%
 - Don't know/Refused, 7.6%
 - "It would take away power from doctors to prescribe the medicines that best meet the needs of their patients, instead putting the government in charge of those decisions."
 - Very concerned, 67.7%

- Somewhat concerned, 12.5%
 - Not concerned, 13.3%
 - Don't know/Refused, 6.5%
 - "It could result in a benefit cut for seniors and people with disabilities in Medicare."
 - Very concerned, 65.4%
 - Somewhat concerned, 15.3%
 - Not concerned, 12.3%
 - Don't know/Refused, 7.0%
 - "It would allow the government to restrict access to medicines that a senior and people with disabilities may currently use."
 - Very concerned, 67.4%
 - Somewhat concerned, 13.6%
 - Not concerned, 12.1%
 - Don't know/Refused, 6.9%
 - "It would allow the government to decide what medicines are available to a patient based on a calculation of the value of their life."
 - Very concerned, 71.1%
 - Somewhat concerned, 8.8%
 - Not concerned, 12.9%
 - Don't know/Refused, 7.2%
 - "It would empower the government to make decisions about expensive therapies and treatments with the goal of saving money rather than prioritizing the health of the patient."
 - Very concerned, 69.7%
 - Somewhat concerned, 11.4%
 - Not concerned, 12.5%
 - Don't know/Refused, 6.4%
- Do you favor or oppose the law that protects the ability of seniors and those with a disability to take whatever drug they and their doctor thinks is best for them?
 - Strongly favor, 71.8%
 - Somewhat favor, 12.9%
 - Strongly oppose, 5.4%
 - Somewhat oppose, 4.0%
 - Don't know/Refused, 5.8%
- If you learned the Democrat candidate for Congress or Senate supported repealing the law that protects ability of seniors and those with a disability to take whatever drug they and their doctor thinks is best for them, would you be more likely or less likely to vote for them for Congress or Senate?
 - Much more likely, 12.5%
 - Somewhat more likely, 6.7%
 - Much less likely, 62.1%
 - Somewhat less likely, 12.8%
 - No difference, 11.0%

- Don't know/Refused, 7.7%
- Republicans in Congress have proposed an alternative plan to reduce the consumer cost of pharmaceutical medicines. It would safely speed up the development of generic drugs and increase competition, focus on eliminating wasteful spending, increase drug pricing transparency for consumers, set an annual maximum on seniors' out-of-pocket drug costs, and preserve America's drug innovation pipeline and private sector so we are not dependent on foreign countries. Do you favor or oppose this plan?
 - Strongly favor, 48.1%
 - Somewhat favor, 19.1%
 - Strongly oppose, 14.0%
 - Somewhat oppose, 5.9%
 - Don't know/Refused, 12.9%